

**Decision Maker:** Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee

**Date:** 21<sup>st</sup> January 2014

**Decision Type:** Non Urgent                      Non Executive                      Non Key

**Title:** MATTERS ARISING

**Contact Officer:** Steve Wood, Democratic Services Officer  
Tel: 020 8313 4316 E-mail: stephen.wood@bromley.gov.uk

**Chief Officer:** Mark Bowen, Director of Corporate Services

**Ward:** N/A

---

1. Reason for report

1.1 **Appendix A** updates Members on matters arising from previous meetings.

---

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

2.1 The Committee is asked to review progress on matters arising from previous meetings.

<b>Non-Applicable Sections:</b>	Policy/Financial/Legal/Personnel
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	Previous matters arising reports and minutes of meetings.

## Corporate Policy

1. Policy Status: Existing Policy
  2. BBB Priority: Excellent Council
- 

## Financial

1. Cost of proposal: No Cost
  2. Ongoing costs: Not Applicable
  3. Budget head/performance centre: Democratic Services
  4. Total current budget for this head: £363,070
  5. Source of funding: 2013/14 revenue budget
- 

## Staff

1. Number of staff (current and additional): 10 posts (8.55fte)
  2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: Completion of "Matters Arising" Reports for PP&S PDS meetings can take up to a few hours per meeting.
- 

## Legal

1. Legal Requirement: None
  2. Call-in: Not Applicable
- 

## Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users/beneficiaries (current and projected): This report is intended primarily for Members of the Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee.
- 

## Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: N/A

<u>Minute Number/Title</u>	<u>Decision</u>	<u>Update</u>
<b>13<sup>th</sup> March 2012</b>		
<b>206. Bethlem Royal Hospital Update</b>	<p>It was resolved that:</p> <p>(1) a complete review of the physical complex of the hospital be recommended for security purposes;</p> <p>(2) a re-write of relevant staff training procedures be recommended for consideration as staff appeared to have been too relaxed at the time of the escape incident;</p> <p>(3) the monitoring of CCTV coverage be recommended for review so that what might be taking place on the hospital site at any given time can be readily identified and action taken;</p> <p>(4) consideration be recommended to whether CCTV coverage at the hospital site can be linked to the Council's CCTV system; and</p> <p>(5) the South London and Maudsley (SLaM) NHS Foundation Trust be requested to provide a briefing to the Committee's next meeting following the completion of investigations.</p>	<p>After the Public Protection and Safety PDS Committee met on November 5<sup>th</sup> 2013, it was noted that a meeting was intended to be set up with SLaM at their offices.</p> <p>The purpose of this meeting would be that Members of Parliament and Councillors could attend, with the intention that this matter could finally be resolved.</p>
<b>27<sup>th</sup> November 2012</b>		
<b>58. Tackling Gangs in Bromley</b>	<p>It was resolved that:</p> <p>(2) a further report be brought back at the end of a 12 month period, setting out work undertaken in tackling gang related activity within the borough.</p>	Report ES14006 on current agenda.
<b>72C. Putting Victims First – More Effective Responses to Anti-Social Behaviour</b>	<p>Although the number of interventions would be reduced by the Draft Anti-Social Behaviour Bill as it removed certain Orders and condensed layers of intervention and noting that the schedule of short, medium and longer term objectives set out at paragraph 3.3 of Report ES13015</p>	<p>Work is continuing to assess resource requirements as a result of measures outlined in the Draft Anti-Social Behaviour Bill. As central government guidance has yet to be received on the new arrangements (e.g. allocation of responsibilities, definitions etc.), it has been agreed to provide the report</p>

	would be contained within existing budgets, it was nevertheless recommended that an assessment be made of any additional costs potentially falling to the Council - this assessment to involve engagement with other Council Departments (including Legal) and agencies such as the police.	when such information becomes available.  The Bill is currently at the “Report Stage” in the House of Commons. It still has to go through the “Report Stage” in the House of Lords. After this there will be a third reading in the House of Commons and the House of Lords. Subsequent to this there will be a Consideration of any Amendments, and finally Royal Assent will be required.
<b>90D. Bromley Perpetrator Programme</b>	RESOLVED that the Portfolio Holder be recommended to:  (1) agree in principle that a perpetrator programme becomes part of the domestic abuse strategy and work plan, subject to funding from MOPAC and delivery partners; and  (2) agree that a further report be brought back to Members with confirmation of the level of funding secured and the estimated annual cost of the programme.	Report Number ES13118 and ES13117 were brought before the November Committee. They dealt respectively with Exemption from Tendering for the Domestic Abuse Perpetrator Programme, and with a request for Exemption from Tendering for the Domestic Abuse Advocacy Project.  The requests were granted in both cases, subject to funding and progress. It was agreed that an update report would follow in the future.  It is anticipated that the Perpetrator Programme Project Update be presented to the Committee in March 2014. The update on the Domestic Abuse Strategy Programme will be included in a future MOPAC Update.
<b>18<sup>th</sup> June 2013</b>		
<b>14. MOPAC Crime Prevention Fund – Bid Outcome</b>	At a meeting with the Deputy Mayor for Policing and Crime on 9th May 2013 the Leader of the Council and the Portfolio Holder expressed their concern over the funding decisions by MOPAC. At the meeting it was agreed that it might be possible to re-allocate the £86k <i>Substance misuse, Intensive Support Programme</i> grant to ASB initiatives, provided a new bid was submitted and approved. A new bid had been submitted but no formal MOPAC decision had been received on the re-allocation.  It was also resolved <i>inter-alia</i> that:	A report will be scheduled for the Committee’s meeting in July 2014.

	(2) a report be submitted in 12 months detailing progress on the funded projects.	
<b>15. Enforcement Activity - October 2012 - March 2013</b>	RESOLVED that:  Further reports be received every six months on activity related to the Portfolio Plan and enforcement under delegated powers.	A report on enforcement activity 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2013 to 30 <sup>th</sup> September 2013 was scrutinised at the Committee's meeting on 5 <sup>th</sup> November 2013.  An update report will be provided to the Committee in July 2014.
<b>16. Bromley Youth Council Manifesto 2013/14</b>	The Youth Council would produce a mid term progress report in October 2013 (for the Mental Health manifesto campaign). An end of Year Report would also look at the impact of the campaign as well as reporting individual and group outcomes and achievements. This would be the subject of a briefing for elected members in early 2014.	An update report will be provided to the Committee in July 2014.
<b>17. Member visits</b>	The possibility of visiting one or more Youth Centre Hubs and the Youth Offending Team had been suggested, and the Chairman, Councillor Gordon Norrie, Councillor Douglas Auld, Councillor Peter Fookes and Samantha Popely expressed a wish to attend.  Possible visit to local ambulance service.	Email sent out to Members on 03/01/14 to assess current interest in these and other possible venues.